

**College Council Minutes**  
Oct. 14, 2010, Boardroom 2:00 – 4:00

Present: Craig Taylor, Sonya Christian, Mary Spilde, Jim Salt, Mario Parker-Milligan, Kienan Wear, Bob Baldwin, Barbara Delansky, Susan Carkin.

Item	Notes
Agenda review	No changes
My Lane Demo by Sonya Christian	<p>Todd Lutz gave an overview on the My Lane portal recently implemented, and the benefits of using this tool, and the possibilities for communication amongst the councils.</p> <p>Discussion: This will be one more thing to review, we are already overwhelmed with what we have; maybe for some instances only; not many of us are familiar with the system yet; maybe start conversation with e-mail messages and then move to the portal; once used it is a great tool, it is not another thing to review; people should start getting used to it; it is necessary to acknowledge the amount of work and effort that went into this; question: should we do it?</p> <p>Consensus: let the information simmer and get back to this later, it is better to have something good, rather than something confusing.</p>
Strategic Planning by Sonya Christian	<p>Christian continued the presentation of the Strategic Directions, started at the last meeting. The Online Learning and Education Resources Implementation goals were presented electronically on the big screen by Todd Lutz. He explained how the group came up to the following goals:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Faculty and staff professional development</li> <li>2) Open Educational Resources</li> <li>3) Research and Development</li> <li>4) Student Support Services</li> </ol>
Report on assessment by Jim Salt	<p>Salt presented a summary of the information collected from the survey regarding assessment of the governance system.</p> <p>Discussion: Not all the councils had time to respond last Spring. There was varying sentiment on the time allowed for councils to respond to the assessment request from College Council. Comments varied from councils already having agenda items that needed to be attended to and therefore could not accommodate the assessment request by only having 3-4 weeks to respond when there was only one meeting left. A summative statement that the system, with the flaws that were identified was working well. After a lengthy discussion the council decided to refer this unresolved issue back to the governance subcommittee.</p>

	<p>1<sup>st</sup> Proposal: Ask one more time for input of other councils and include their responses in the report. Voting: YES 8, NO 1</p> <p>2<sup>nd</sup> Proposal: Refer this item back to the governance subcommittee for discussion and decision. PASSED</p>
<p>Governance Overview</p>	<p>Discussion of overall membership and final ideas for tomorrow's All-Council meeting and the items to be included in the agenda.</p>
<p>Reports</p>	<p>ASLCC: working on voter registration, have surpass the goal expected. Working with other groups prioritizing as organization.</p> <p>LCCEA: will be working on a canvass to register voters. Will be paying close attention to budget at state level.</p> <p>LCCEF: David Walton will be stepping down from the tech council around mid term.</p> <p>MSC: got process online to invite participation for representatives on councils.</p> <p>ET: working on strategic directions, administrators got responsibilities for moving those along.</p>