

Item	Notes
Present	Dawn DeWolf, Brian Kelly, Susan Carkin, Brooke Taylor, Michael Weed, Craig Taylor, Jim Salt, Bob Baldwin, Mary Spilde (via telephone)
Agenda review	<p>No changes</p> <p>Chair pointed that next meeting will be on Dec 11, finals week, he asked the members if they want to meet. There was consensus.</p>
Approval of prior Minutes	<p>Minutes of: October 17, October 31, November 14, 2013, and January 1, January 23, February 13, February 13, February 27, March 13, May 8, May 22, June 12 and October 9, 2014 are pending approval.</p> <p>Proposal: Move the minutes of the first six meeting Seconded</p> <p>Discussion: The changes agreed have not been done; concern that after the fact is hard to remember what was said; minutes were sent a while ago, and members have been asked several times for review and feedback and no answers have been received; this is an ongoing issue; the governance rules for minutes should be used; use governance rules for minutes; it was stated that at least we need minutes approved for the current year; one member stated would like to see names in the minutes if relevant; .</p> <p>Voting: 2 sideways, one no, 5 yes</p> <p>Action: item tabled for next meeting.</p>
Debrief on Accreditation Recommendations/Commendations	<p>Shepherding of Accreditation Process</p> <p>Members had a conversation regarding the recommendations given by the Accreditation Commission, and the responsibility of CC to shepherd the process to accomplish the recommendations.</p> <p>Proposal: Establish a body of the CC that has representation of stakeholders that will lead the work and provide reports to CC for their approval.</p> <p>Discussion: Not opposed, but people must commit to do the work because there is not enough time. President needs to report to the commission by January; assessment team has to be at the center of this work; it needs to have a timeline and there are only four weeks left.</p> <p>Amendment to proposal: have the planning subcommittee develop a framework identifying who will be doing the work completed for CC by Dec 11 and recognize that work need to continue.</p> <p>Voting: approved unanimously</p>

	<p>Proposal: identified who will be the reps for this group; stakeholders may or may not be members of CC.          Secoded          Voting: passes unanimously</p> <p>Proposal: have up to two members per representation.          Voting: passes unanimously</p> <p>Name of the group: Accreditation task force</p>
<p>Reactivation of Finance Council</p>	<p>Discussion of FY 15 budget development process</p> <p>The following document was presented for discussion:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>FINANCE COUNCIL</b></p> <p><del>Starting in the 2007-2008 academic year, Finance Council work has been absorbed into College Council, primarily through the Budget &amp; Finance Subcommittee.</del></p> <p><b>Charter</b></p> <p><b>Purpose:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop college-wide financial and budget policies</li> <li>Develop the long-range financial plan</li> <li>Evaluate the financial performance of the college</li> <li>Evaluate the results of policies, planning and the annual budget process, recommending changes as necessary</li> </ul> <p><b>Scope of Work:</b></p> <p>The Finance Council is responsible for college-wide budget and finance policy and for long-range fiscal strategies and planning. The Vice President for College Services is responsible for operating procedures to implement policy. The Finance Council is responsible for evaluating financial performance and evaluating the results of implementation of policy and plans.</p> <p><b>The Finance Council shall:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop and evaluate college-wide budget/financial policies</li> <li>Develop the college's strategies and long-range fiscal plan in support of the strategic plan</li> <li>Recommend to the president assumptions and criteria for the annual budget development process</li> <li>Evaluate financial performance and budget development process</li> <li>Provide a college-wide forum for dialogue on budget and finance issues</li> </ul> <p><b>Membership:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>VP for College Services 1</li> <li>VP for <del>Instruction and Student Services</del> Academic and Student Affairs</li> <li>CFO 1</li> <li><del>Executive Director of Human Resources or designee 1</del></li> <li>Classified 2</li> <li>Faculty 2</li> <li>Managers 2</li> </ul>

ENDING

DRAFT

	<p>Students 2 Additional members by position 0-4</p> <p>Discussion: Move the vice-chair responsibilities to the CFO position instead of VP of College Services, and Operations Manager be added as a member by position; long range planning will be responsibility of this council, currently this work is not being done; the decision matrix needs to reviewed.</p> <p>Proposal: approve the charter and membership list Approved unanimously</p>
Area Council Workplan Communication	<p>Members had a conversation regarding the following: Request work plans from other councils; have a meeting with chairs and vice-chairs to resolve the concern that communications between councils don't exist; post agendas and minutes on a timely fashion.</p>
Reports:	<p>ASLCC: organize a party for voter organizer; student of color conference. Last Friday UO had a conference about student homelessness; Michael was a presenter. Michael Griggs died last week.</p> <p>MSC, no report</p> <p>ET, board retreat this coming weekend, and will be working at the upcoming community conversations.</p> <p>LCCEA, no report</p> <p>Faculty Council: members will vote to approve new statement for "No show drop" at next meeting. Trying to appoint more faculty reps to councils.</p> <p>LCCEF, no report</p>
Adjourn	3:40, next meeting December 11 <sup>th</sup>

DRAFT - COUNCIL APPROVAL PENDING