

COLLEGE COUNCIL MINUTES
November 10, 2011 Boardroom 2:00 – 4:00

| Item | Notes |
|---|---|
| Present: | Rodger Gambling, Sonya Christian, Craig Taylor, Corinne Mooney, Dennis Gilbert. Steve McQuidy, Mary Spilde (on the phone), Bob Baldwin, Susan Carkin, Mario Parker-Milligan, Greg Morgan |
| Absent: | Barbara Delansky |
| Agenda review | No changes |
| Approval of prior Minutes | Approved |
| BLT/Master Planning Update | <p>Alen Bahret, Todd Smith, and Bob Baldwin gave an update on the Bond and Long Range Planning.</p> <p>Several updates are being done on projects in different buildings/areas of campus as well as large projects in downtown campus (old building). There will be a tour in Nov 16th. There is a feasibility study which includes all the buildings that need projects and it is the tool used to distribute the remaining bond funds. Project with the Library is being done in coordination with Marika at the library and a consultant will be hired for the entire project in buildings 17, 18, 11 and Center. They explained that so far every project has been under or right on budget. And the entire master planning committee will be meeting on Dec 2nd to make decisions on next steps.</p> |
| <p>Budget Development</p> <p>(Standing item on the agenda to update on progress of work done. It is presented by the members of the budget sub-committee)</p> | <p>Sub-committee has not met since the last College Council meeting. There is a draft of the long range financial planning to be discussed on next meeting Nov-16. A member questioned why is this document being presented to the board before next meeting? President Spilde explained that is a matter of timing, the board at the retreat directed her to do that. She doesn't have the responsibility to consult with staff on tasks the board asks her to do, and it is only a draft that needs to be completed.</p> <p>Discussion:</p> <p>My apologies last time we met we understood that it to be presented in Dec. They want to approve it on Dec, but they need to review it first. My concern is that again the governance system will have no involvement in the long range financial planning. I heard you, but the board has decided to take on the full responsibility of the LRFP. Will everyone get a copy of the document by next Monday or Tuesday? Also, when you say that the board is taking responsibility, are you talking about operations, or that the financial planning is done under the board policies? I'd like to be able to engage in the process as much as possible. At the last CC meeting several members where in favor of reinstating finance council. During the Faculty Council discussions it was also decided in favor.</p> <p>This is not a brand new idea, the Finance Council was functioning for 2 years, can someone talk about their accomplishments? The FC had 4 levers: enrollment which we don't have control; Financial; State funding, we only have certain control; and Tuition, which seems like pretty quick that is the only thing we'll have control. We need a council that not only represents those levers. The Council was never allowed to be effective; it</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>was not given enough elements to plan accordingly with the scope of charter. No, because that work is to be done by the staff, it was not what the governance system intended to happen. If that is what we want to happen, the few meeting times of the Council will not be enough to cover all the work that needs to be done. Issues like the part time faculty and the need of more instructors to bring money to the college are some of the topics that this council could explore. The faculty union leadership will be in strong support of re-opening the Finance Council. To be clear, will the council replace the CC budget subcommittee? It should be either or, otherwise is not being efficient. My only concern is that the LRFP recommendation is going to go to the board, but not council has seen it, that is not co-governance. My understanding is that the financial council already has definition; to at certain extend the budget subcommittee scope has narrow down as I have heard therefore there will be no conflict. In reading the charter of the council, I see that the council will do important work, but I struggle with the workload based on what I have seen for instance at Learning Council, sometimes there is no follow up with the commitment, also some positions here at the college have the authority and responsibility to move on with this so it is very frustrating. There is certain value on the deep deliberation of financial issues, but how is this going to be resolved. Member gave some history on the issues of the council and the discussions needed the decision of the president or vice president and that didn't happened. If the council is reinstated probably there is not necessary to have the budget subcommittee, in any case it is the same number of meetings regardless. I see no reason why CC cannot do this work, we have reps of all groups at the table, I have proposed this before. I appreciate the concern about workload, but we need more creative thinking, more diversity perspectives and more inclusiveness on the financial decisions. One of the things I remember of the FC is that members were not at the table when we needed to make decisions, it was a problem. Now we have the problem with capacity. I disagree with the proposal that CC should be able to do the financial work plus cover all of the other stuff like strategic directions, etc. we will have to meet twice a week, to accomplish all the work needed. CC cannot do the work of both, CC has the unions' presidents and the president of the school, and they have their own agenda which excludes the rest of the campus, so I ask how we can have this discussion with integrity and collegially. Recreating something that didn't work before how is going to work this time.</p> <p>Proposal: Sonya Christian proposed that the CC chair and vice chair have a discussion that helps CC to make decision next meeting. Dennis Gilbert seconded the proposal.</p> <p>Voting: Proposal passed unanimously</p> |
| <p>Reactivation of Finance Council</p> | <p>Discussion was covered on previous item</p> |
| <p>CC Work Plan</p> | <p>Begin discussion of major objectives for CC in 2011/12. Conclude this item next meeting.</p> <p>Members talked about work on College policies. Decision was that a subcommittee will review the previous work done by Jim Salt. Rodger Gambling, Susan Carkin, Greg Morgan, and Steve McQuidy volunteered to be part of this subcommittee</p> |
| <p>SD update: Progression & Completion</p> | <p>Update on Win/Win presented by John Hamblin & Craig Taylor</p> <p>Win win is a grant the college got it to the Illumina foundation. It will help track the path of the students after leaving the school.</p> <p>Questions and discussion: I wonder if this will be a research access for everyone, or just will be another research left</p> |

| | |
|----------------|---|
| | <p>out there. Is this a legitimate concern to help students or just to help LCC image? This is not a mega system, but there are elements that will help us. All I'm saying is that we need to use the collective intelligence to participate. The idea is to help students to complete a degree, this is an opportunity to look at our current processes, for i.e. looking at accounts in collection, maybe this is one reason why they don't come back, and what are the possible solutions.</p> |
| Future agendas | <p>CC meeting/All Council Dec 1 and 8</p> <p>There was no time to talk about this item.</p> |

| | |
|----------|--|
| Reports: | <p>No reports ASLCC</p> <p>MSC No meetings</p> <p>ET No meetings</p> <p>LCCEA</p> <p>FACULTY COUNCIL</p> <p>LCCEF</p> |
|----------|--|

Adjourn at 3:55, next meeting dec 8