

College Council NOTES
 May 22, 2014 Boardroom 2:00 – 4:00

PRESENT:

Item	Objective
Present	Bob Baldwin, Susan Carkin, Barbara Delansky, Roger Gamblin, Brian Kelly, Jim Salt, Russell Shitabata, Mary Spilde, Craig Taylor, and Paul Zito
Agenda review	Approved
Approval of prior Minutes	<p>Minutes of: October 17, October 31, and November 14, 2013. January 9, January 23, February 13, February 27, March 13 and May 8, 2014 pending for approval.</p> <p>Tabled for next meeting because members haven't had time to read minutes.</p>
All Council meeting	Discussion of an all-council meeting next fall Members agreed that they need this meeting.
Governance sub com report	<p>Report from Governance Sub Committee regarding survey results</p> <p>A discussion regarding the process to be used to put together the summary of the survey followed. Members discussed if this was a venue to improve the governance system; if subcommittee should continue meeting through the end of the term; use of the summary, internal only or shared with other councils; accreditation mandates of continue assessment; CC should address the knowledge problem, as well participation; needs of more discussion regarding the emerging themes, Board is waiting for this information; look for other people in the institution who can help us see on what are we falling short; information was received only yesterday, there are severe limitations on the survey, comments should be discarded; survey was not intended as research, was source of information; information gathered does not differ from what it is heard across campus; it will be naïve and disingenuous to discard the responses and comments; seems like the board is confused on what they are thinking; communication is the only problem; communication is one of the problems; action has been conducive to this point to be talked down; data might not be enough, but people is talking to us; we should be careful on how to interpret the information. This is applied research, and we should be cognizant on how to apply the information.</p> <p>Proposal: That the subcommittee have a couple of meetings by the end of the term, identify the themes and bring it back to the June 12 meeting, after that CC will decide on next steps.</p> <p>Seconded</p> <p>Voting: all members in agreement</p>

Budget Development	<p>Budget Update</p> <p>Jennifer Steele presented a document supporting the strategic directions. She also talked about uniplaning becoming an instrument to request funding. And a survey has been sent to staff, but there has been feedback that is too long.</p> <p>A discussion about how this decision was made follow. Members talked about not all the college bodies being include in the conversation; doing work during summer when faculty is not here. This is a really crazy time to ask people to stop and do a survey. This is one of the reasons there is lack of meaningful decisions in the council, we are blindsided by the administration. The governance system didn't agree to not be part of the department planning. It shouldn't be with participants selected by the administration or self-selected. There is not much disconnecting. The work group will be presenting work that will be very useful to the governance system. This is not part of the governance system.</p>
Planning "workgroup"	Discussion of administration's new "planning workgroup" vis a vis Governance Planning responsibility
Student Affairs Council policies	<p>Report on policies modified by Student Affairs Council</p> <p>Executive Dean Kerry Levitt presented this item representing the Student Affairs Council; she explained that this was part of the work assigned to this council and presented their recommendations regarding the policies they have reviewed.</p> <p>Proposal: accept the 1st, 3rd, and 5th policies</p> <p>Seconded</p> <p>Voting: 7 yes, 2 sideways, 1 down</p> <p>Proposal: include this item into June agenda</p> <p>Members agreed</p>
Reports:	There was no time for this item
Budget report	Budget is on the table, we need 8 votes. Requested the board the implications of not increasing the \$3 dollars. We'll be giving reports on how \$1.80, 2, etc. Pool the board on the options of raising tuition, laying people off people, or closing programs