

College Council Minutes
March 13, 2014 Boardroom 2 – 4 pm

| Item                           | Notes   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Present                        | Jim Salt, Bob Baldwin, Roger Gamblin, Barbara Delansky, Susan Carkin, Anayeli<br>Jimenez, Mary Spilde, Craig Taylor, Brian Kelly and Colette Buchanan.  |
| Agenda review                  | Faculty representative requested that the cultural policy conversation should be included in next meeting Agenda.  A discussion regarding: board policies don't apply to staff. Illusion that the president can do whatever she wants. Embarrassing discussions. Constant accusations, and grossly misrepresentations. Inaccurate information and repetition of opinions trying to make it look as truths, followed. Action: none |
| Approval of prior minutes      | Minutes of: May 23 (needed further discussion regarding inclusion or not of audience's comments), October 17, October 31, November 14, January 1, January 23, February 13 and February 27 are pending for approval.  Move minutes review to the end of the meeting because members haven't had  |
|                                | time to read them. Agreed if an action is taken. Moved  |
| College                        | Governance  |
| Council Sub committees Reports | Chair gave an update on the governance system assessment work. Presented the final draft of the survey and asked members to make a decision.  |
|                                | A discussion regarding: purpose of survey. Input obtained. Meeting everyone's interests. Not a survey but a reflection of the college's culture. What needs to be fixed with the governance system? Not enough time to meet deadline. Assessing constraints, followed.  |
|                                | Proposal: put a survey that assess the data constraints. Seconded. Voting: all in favor   |
|                                | A discussion regarding: council approval for use of data, use of more carefully crafted questions, multitask, move with the survey while sorting out on what to do with results we have questions, who gets the rough data, and who determines the final results, followed.   |
| NY.                            | Proposal: have all the members receive the data. Charge the subcommittee with reviewing and analyzing the data, and bring the conclusions to CC for final review. Seconded Voting: all in favor   |
|                                | Member proposed to extend this agenda item for five minutes more. Everyone was in agreement.  |
|                                | A discussion regarding: results are public document, need of two surveys, deadline, filters, done by end of academic year, followed.  |
|                                | Proposal: to make two surveys, dividing the questions. Proposing member offered his services to do this work. Seconded Voting: 2 yes, 2 sideways, 6 no.   |

|               | Proposal: send the survey with the amendment to question 15 <sup>th</sup> , including the agreement on constrains. Seconded Voting: all in favor   |
|---------------|--|
|               | Proposal: close the survey the first week of the term, by April 4. Seconded Voting: all in favor   |
|               | Budget & Finance Chair informed that the sub-committee has met twice, and had reviewed the projection documents. Next meeting he hopes to resolve the questions rose Define levers to balance the budget. There will be two scenarios for propose budgets. Based on funding to be received and based on enrollment.  |
| Accreditation | Presented by Maurice Hamington, Executive Dean of Transfer The process is moving forward, for everyone in the college to look at it, and comment. He showed the website and explained the different chapters. Male emphasis on Chapter 3 Institutional Planning.  In October there will be campus wide informational campaigns, evaluators who be meeting with different folks. They have the right to meet with everyone. Explained who gets the report, and what they do with the information. And depending on the severity of the findings, accreditors will set timelines to fix findings, and probably will come to review us again sooner than expected. They also do virtual visits. |
| Reports       | ASLCC: busy with vote drive. Have added organizer who will be visiting class Task force formed to assure continuity of food pantry. Have changed bylaws regarding legal services for students.   |
|               | LCCEA: Marisa Haiste is taking another job in Massachusetts  |
|               | Faculty Council: completed the draft of proposed amendments, probably volume two meetings.   |
|               | LCCEF: no report   |
|               | MSC: no report ET: Brian announced Sustainability Conference. Tomorrow will engage with partners within the community to revise our consumption of water, hopefull reduce it.  |
| Minutes       | Return minutes to member (Barbara Delansky) working on this, because the agreement was to apply the guidelines of the governance system. Barbara requested members to send her feedback on the format.   |
|               | Proposal: approve minutes for May 23   |