

## College Council Agenda

March 10, 2011, Boardroom 2:00 – 3:30

Present: Greg Morgan, Barbara Delansky, Susan Carkin, Roger Gamblin, Mary Spilde, Bob Baldwin, Jim Salt.

Item	Objective
Agenda review	Meeting will adjourn earlier at 3:30
Review of Notes	Minutes of February 10 were approved
Climate Action Plan, second review, approval requested  By Jennifer Hayward and Brian Kelly	<p>Jenifer Hayward brought an abbreviated version of the plan, and stated that the members of the committee had come today mostly to respond questions.</p> <p>Q: It seems like we are committing to something we don't have control, such as the number of driving to the school?</p> <p>A: There are ways that will mitigate those issues, such as the EMX transportation with better control of emissions. We are working on project with ASLCC to share the drive via Facebook. And there are some technologies that could help us to drive less.</p> <p>Q: Looking at how stringent is our budget, it feels that we can commit to the things that we have budget for but not sure to the ones without control.</p> <p>A: Unlike other colleges, we have some flexibility. And on things we don't have control; we can encourage people to follow these guidelines. One of the big opportunities is our transportation fee; it was not issued for sustainability purposes, but to help with budget situation. We could use that to motivate students to use bus.</p> <p>Q: I don't disagree completely but it was created for the bus pass for three months.</p> <p>A: We should create two types of fees, one for parking and other for bus for everyone and have people to choose one of them.</p> <p>Greg Morgan moved the motion to be approved, Rodger Gamblin second it. Motion passed unanimously</p>
Safety Committee Update by Rodger Gamblin	Roger reported that things are moving forward; they deserve a pat on the back because the committee is operating as a governance committee should be. They sit on the table, bring information from/to staff, and then come with recommendations.
Report on Long Range Planning By Bob Baldwin	<p>Bob reported on the mega meetings, who has collaborated, and the different projects coming up. Center building was the consensus for everyone as priority one. Next priority Forum building, not true consensus but close, one of the choices is to reduce three floors to two, he also gave details on discussion about the auditorium rooms. Building 18 renovation was also considered high priority because of the inefficient lay out for classes. Building 6 is the lowest priority because it's lower production of FTE. Other issue considered was the reduction of pathways and create functional spaces instead, such as the concrete parts outside of Center building.</p> <p>Also gave report on master planning and report that the plan will be presented at June 2011 board of education meeting.</p> <p>Discussion: To clarify the governance process, the plan should come to the Facilities council first, then to College council and then to the board. Center building how will public sessions address decisions and how will address significant impact outcomes. I heard that ET is going to make the final decisions.</p> <p>I haven't heard that, it is expected that bond committee will make those, not the College council because it is not under their purview of responsibilities.</p> <p>We need to define that before we got into more substantive conversations. I would like to know which decisions are going to be made before we get to live with them. We don't have a set process we are trying to create a process; I got involved in the long range planning so the governance system gets involved. I'm wondering if we can ask the bond leadership team if they envision how they are going to recognize the input of all the people. I was told that the facilities council will make the planning decisions, not the bond leadership team. Like everything else at Lane, who makes the decision?</p>

	<p>If the whole campus doesn't have an involvement, the process will lack of credibility. Will DTC see shortage money from the bond funds? I understand that the bond restrictions don't allow it. President Spilde clarified that it can be used for DTC if the board wishes to do so.</p>
<p>Reports: ET, Faculty Council, ASLCC Mgmt Senate, LCCEF, and LCCEA</p>	<p>ET: Conversations about bargaining, can't report on that. Summer projects, talk about dental program updates.</p> <p>FACULTY COUNCIL: reported by Jim Salt. Issues and questions about college now. Council has appointed a sub-committee to address those questions.</p> <p>MANAGEMENT SENATE: Haven't met. It is time to pull that meeting together. Will address budget impacts, etc.</p> <p>LCCEF: reviewing who will be on councils next year, we do that in April and May. We were on Salem lobbying. Talks on union issues regarding Wisconsin situation have started in Salem.</p> <p>LCCEA: focusing on preparations for bargaining. Lobbying for state wide community colleges. Minority internship program is being pursued; legally the original vision will not pass. Rally organized tomorrow to support public employees.</p> <p>Bob: We had talk about bringing all the councils together, is there any interest to bring them during in-service day? Everyone agreed, and he will get in contact with ASA to set that up.</p>