

College Council Minutes
April 28, 2016, Boardroom 3/216, 2:00-4:00

Agenda review Approved Approved Approved iniutes of April 14 Sub Committee Reports: F&B Governance; and Planning Finance and Budget subcommittee Finance and Budget subcommittee Chair informed that the subcommittee has been talking about: Last board's decision of no increasing HEPI and the board policy. There has been a lot of suggestions from members of the subcommittee but no one reach consensus. Subcommittee students representatives capacity to engage effectively in budget analysis is very week, they are not prepared, but with the board they have tremendous power. This is the third of four years that students vote for the consensous budget, then go to the board and vote against. This is an issue of the governance system. Students should have more political education. Possible solutions to balance the budget: 1. Raise tuition 2. Reduce the offerings to community 3. Reduce shalries/positions It is not only the students, faculty and classified staff has also came to the board against a decisions made by the subcommittee. We need education/training. There is power and privilege around the positions at this table, and students don't feel at the same level, that is why afterwards they go and organize themselves and change their vote. Next year we should make it clear that they understand how this works. Governance subcommittee Members presented a document regarding decision making protocols for the governance system, and ask CC to approve it. Also the subcommittee has talked about empowering each council, meaning that they will communicate their work plan to CC and look for guidance, but the decision on their work should be done at council level only. And having regular meetings with chairs and vice-chairs should be a venue to keep CC informed on their work. After a discussion members didn't reach consensous on approving the changes presented. Planning subcommittee Jen Steele, gave an update on the strategic planning process, and informed that there is a web site where the information is being	Item	Notes
Approval of prior Minutes Sub Committee Reports: F88; Governance; and Planning Planning Planning Finance and Budget subcommittee Chair informed that the subcommittee has been talking about: Chair informed that the subcommittee has been talking about: Chair late bard's decision of no increasing HEPI and the board policy. There has been a lot of suggestions from members of the subcommittee but no one reach consensus. Subcommittee students representatives capacity to engage effectively in budget analysis is very week, they are not prepared, but with the board they have tremendous power. This is the third of four years that students vote for the consensous budget, then go to the board and vote against. This is an issue of the governance system. Students should have more political education. Possible solutions to balance the budget: 1. Raise tuition 2. Reduce the offerings to community 3. Reduce salaries/positions It is not only the students, faculty and classified staff has also came to the board against a decisions made by the subcommittee. We need education/training. There is power and privilege around the positions at this table, and students don't feel at the same level, that is why afterwards they go and organize themselves and change their vote. Next year we should make it clear that they understand how this works. Governance subcommittee Members presented a document regarding decision making protocols for the governance system, and ask CC to approve it. Also the subcommittee Members presented a document regarding decision making protocols for the governance system, and ask CC to approve it. Also the subcommittee Members presented a document regarding decision making protocols for the governance system, and ask CC to approve it. Also the subcommittee work should be done at council level only. And having regular meetings with chairs and vice-chairs should be a venue to keep CC informed on their work. After a discussion members didn't reach consensous on approving the changes presented. P	Present	
Sub Committee Reports: F8B; Governance; and Planning Pland the board policy. There has been a lot of suggestions from members of the subcommittee but no one reach consensus. Planning subcommittee students representatives capacity to engage effectively in budget analysis is very week, they are not prepared, but with the board they have tremendous power. Planning subcommittee students of four years that students vote for the consensous budget, then go to the board and vote against. This is an issue of the governance system. Students should have more political education. Possible solutions to balance the budget: Reduce the offerings to community Reduce the offerings to community Reduce salaries/positions It is not only the students, faculty and classified staff has also came to the board against a decisions made by the subcommittee. Puened education/training. There is power and privilege around the positions at this table, and students don't feel at the same level, that is why afterwards they go and organize themselves and change their vote. Next year we should make it clear that they understand how this works. Governance subcommittee Members presented a document regarding decision making protocols for the governance system, and ask CC to approve it. Also the subcommittee has talked about empowering each council, meaning that they will communicate their work plan to CC and look for guidance, but the decision on their work should be done at council level only. And having regular meetings with chairs and vice-chairs should be a venue to keep CC informed on their work. After a discussion members didn't reach consensous on approving the changes presented. Planning subcommittee Planning subcommittee In Steele, gave an update on the strategic plann	Agenda review	Approved
Reports: F&B Governance; and Planning - Chair informed that the subcommittee has been talking about: - Last board's decision of no increasing HEPI and the board policy. There has been a lot of suggestions from members of the subcommittee but no one reach consensus Subcommittee students representatives capacity to engage effectively in budget analysis is very week, they are not prepared, but with the board they have tremendous power This is the third of four years that students vote for the consensous budget, then go to the board and vote against. This is an issue of the governance system. Students should have more political education Possible solutions to balance the budget: - 1. Raise tuition - 2. Reduce the offerings to community - 3. Reduce salaries/positions - It is not only the students, faculty and classified staff has also came to the board against a decisions made by the subcommittee We need education/training. There is power and privilege around the positions at this table, and students don't feel at the same level, that is why afterwards they go and organize themselves and change their vote Next year we should make it clear that they understand how this works. - Wext year we should make it clear that they understand how this works. - Wext year we should make it clear that they understand how this works. - Wext year we should make it clear that they understand how this works. - Wext year we should be done at council level only. And having regular meetings with chairs and vice-chairs should be a venue to keep CC informed on their work. - After a discussion members didn't reach consensous on approving the changes presented. - Planning subcommittee - Jen Steele, gave an update on the strategic planning process, and informed that there is a web site where the information is being updated on a weekly basis.		Approved minutes of April 14
Reports No time for reports	Reports: F&B Governance; and	 Chair informed that the subcommittee has been talking about: Last board's decision of no increasing HEPI and the board policy. There has been a lot of suggestions from members of the subcommittee but no one reach consensus. Subcommittee students representatives capacity to engage effectively in budget analysis is very week, they are not prepared, but with the board they have tremendous power. This is the third of four years that students vote for the consensous budget, then go to the board and vote against. This is an issue of the governance system. Students should have more political education. Possible solutions to balance the budget: Raise tuition Reduce the offerings to community Reduce salaries/positions It is not only the students, faculty and classified staff has also came to the board against a decisions made by the subcommittee. We need education/training. There is power and privilege around the positions at this table, and students don't feel at the same level, that is why afterwards they go and organize themselves and change their vote. Next year we should make it clear that they understand how this works. Governance subcommittee Members presented a document regarding decision making protocols for the governance system, and ask CC to approve it. Also the subcommittee has talked about empowering each council, meaning that they will communicate their work plan to CC and look for guidance, but the decision on their work should be done at council level only. And having regular meetings with chairs and vice-chairs should be a venue to keep CC informed on their work. After a discussion members didn't reach consensous on approving the changes presented. Planning subcommittee Jenning subcommittee
Adjourn 3:40 pm	Reports	
	Adjourn	3:40 pm