

COLLEGE COUNCIL MINUTES

April 26, 2012, Boardroom 2:00 – 4:00

ltem	Notes
Present	Merriam, Craig, Susan, Bob, Rodger, Mary, Barbara, Jim
Absent	Dennis, Mario, Sonya, Greg
Agenda review	No changes
Approval of prior Minutes	Last meeting minutes approved
Web Page Update By Brad Hinson	Brad gave an updated of the migration of the current web sites to a more dynamic web site. He explained the technical process being used, which is a temporary template that will make the process seamless. The team is working with different groups to collect information. Also is targeting the outside community to design the external views. These two pieces of work are happening at same time. It is expected to come together by Fall. The IT department has started to guide and train some groups so they can follow and update their own pages. Extended information on these processes is accessible in the right upper corner of the current Lane main web page.
Budget Development (Standing item to update BDF work progress	At the last meeting there was a good discussion about potential levers to balance the budget. The deficit is 6 million; the administration came with four sets of possible options including different levers. Committee discussed all of them. But no consensus was reached. Each stake holder will bring a set of proposals. LCCEA & LCCF have already sent their proposals. ASLCC will not send a proposal, MSC will send one soon. There will be another meeting in the hope of reaching consensus. Comments: -There were things on the proposals that are interrelated which each group, that didn't facilitate the consensus. Students will not send a proposal; they are sending letter opposing tuition at this point. - The question to the President then is, do you have a list of what student services you want to cut? - That is what I'm putting my energy on, If there is no tuition what are the options. We need to think about a proposed budget, and continue meeting. - I'm not interested in meeting tomorrow if the administration doesn't have a proposal - I think that the administration work is taking the students side, and the issue are the same for each group. - You quite frequently critique that the administration comes up with a budget without discussion. Now you want me to come up with a proposal. If the students don't want the increase the tuition, then it is my responsibility to

	do this work and find a solution.
	- But I think is perfectly clear to ask them what services they want to shut in order to not raise the tuition. We are not being appropriate equal players. We are not putting a proposal that is hypothetical.
	- No, because we can still continue the discussions. I know that every year I got that political accountability.
	-The college is not respecting the governance system
	- If there is not tuition increase, I want to look into the possibilities; I want to know how it will look like. If that is the case the board is not going to look to the students, they are going to look at me for solutions.
	-The students are not in a position to produce a document right now until more information is collected. I think we should let the President to continue with her work.
	-I have seen that there has been movement and discussion, if the students see the list; they might understand that 0 is not viable.
	-The students feel that they didn't have enough information to make a accurate an informative decision, students can't have \$6 extra, how can we ask that increase to a high percentage of students on food stamps? Probably the tuition will be increased but the decision has to be informed.
	To compare the sacrifices that students have to make vs. faculty is unsustainable, and it is wrong; our staff is making sacrifices not having salary increases in years, they are losing thousands of dollars.
	-Then are we meeting at 3 tomorrow?
	All the members agreed to continue with the meetings.
Accreditation Report By Sonya Christian	Sonya explained that what the current work is a self-study and it is being tracked on the web site. The group is working hard on the three year report. There is a schedule with the purpose of outreach and inform on the standards subsets. The evaluators will be coming and having conversations with people in this room. We should start talking about the governance system because is part of the sub standards. These systems are indicators for Lane's report card, meaning that Lane is working on what which is supposed to.
	Comments: - I would like to get some training first if we are going to be interviewed - I'll be happy to do it, but I welcome your questions; ideas, etc. in the web site check the standards that have to do with governance. Anne McGrail will come to talk to the board in September. -This is a new self study, but there are other self studies going along this one, such as Foundations of Excellence, and Achieving the Dream. It is

	important to recognize that they are related and impact accreditation. -We are also accreditors, we need to ask ourselves, are we fulfilling our mission as we stated in serving the students? The whole self-study is us.
Reports:	ASLCC: Have been working on the 2600 register for vote. The goal was 5,000 registrations, we are half way there. Election for new Association senators is on the way.
	MSC: Group has met to review budget options, we empathize with the students group because we didn't' have answers for several questions either.
	ET: this group is no longer meeting, we are doing its work in a different way through ASA, and they are doing the work that ET used to do.
	LCCEA: has talk with faculty council, about reviewing the policies and procedures. 1. We should schedule a meeting to review the list. 2. Councils have not done much of reviewing their policies. 3 what are we going to do in order to see that the councils do their work. Also we have been reviewing the 40,40,20 governor's goal marker.
	FACULTY COUNCIL: representative absent
	LCCEF: 2000 brothers and sisters over the university have been certified.
Adjurn	3:40 [A1]